





# Multi-Objective Test Recommendation for Adaptive Learning

Nassim Bouarour<sup>1</sup>, Idir Benouaret<sup>2</sup>, Sihem Amer-Yahia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CNRS, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, France <sup>2</sup>EPITA Research Laboratory (LRE), Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France

## Context

- Upskilling through online platforms (e.g., MOOCs, forums) is rapidly growing but often lacks guidance.
- Learners follow self-directed paths, making it difficult to ensure skill mastery.
- Existing test recommendation systems rarely adapt to individual learning needs or challenges.

## Algorithms

- MOO: a Hill Climbing heuristic that finds a subset of the Pareto solutions by optimizing all objectives at once
- We derive multiple variants
- MAB each arm corresponds to a subset of dimensions and the reward is the speed
- There is a need for personalized, adaptive strategies that drive effective and measurable skill progression.

## Motivation

Consider a learner with very basic knowledge in Math who wants to learn mathematical functions.





## Formalization, Problem statement

We consider a learner  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  who follows an iterative learning process for a skill sk. At each step, l completes a set of k tests with different difficulty levels for sk. Each test  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  has a fixed difficulty d of skill progression

#### Experiments

• **Metrics**: Skill gain, Skill progression, Percentage of learners who attain mastery, Number of iterations to attain mastery



#### Each test $t \in \mathcal{T}$ has a fixed difficulty $d_t$ .

**Expected performance.** It is the expected performance of learner l for a test t. It is based on the similarity of t with successfully completed tests  $l.S \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  by l and is formalized as follows:

 $exPerf(l,t) = sim(t,l.\mathcal{S})$ 

Aptitude. It quantifies the difference between a learner's skill value (l.sk) and the difficulty level of a test t  $(d_t)$ . It represents the learner's progression ability for skill sk when assigned tests that are correctly completed. Aptitude is defined as follows:

$$apt(l,t) = d_t - l.sk$$

**Gap.** It quantifies the distance between the past failed tests of learner l (set  $l.\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ ) and the test t and is defined as follows:

 $gap(l,t) = dist(t,l.\mathcal{F})$ 

## The AdUp problem

To achieve skill mastery, we propose an iterative formulation that solves the following problem:

Given a learner l, with a skill l.sk, find a batch  $B \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  of k tests to assign to l at iteration i s.t.:

maximize  $\sum exPerf(l, t)$ 



Figure 3: (I) Percentage of mastery attained - (II) Average number of iterations to attain mastery using MAB strategies.

### Conclusion

- Novel adaptive upskilling framework, **AdUp**, which recommends personalized test batches to learners by optimizing three key objectives: **expected performance**, **aptitude**, and **skill gap**.
- Two solutions: a **multi-objective optimization (MOO)** method for fixed strategies and a **multi-armed bandit (MAB)** approach that dynamically adapts which objectives to prioritize.
- Through extensive simulations and evaluations on real-world **datasets**, **our study demonstrates that** combining all three objectives significantly im-

$$\begin{array}{l} maximize \sum_{t \in B}^{t \in B} apt(l,t) \\ minimize \sum_{t \in B} gap(l,t) \\ \text{subject to } |B| = k \end{array}$$

#### proves skill gain and mastery rate

• Adaptive strategies like MAB outperform static ones by better aligning with learner progression. These findings validate the importance of balancing challenge and support in personalized learning systems.

(IA-ÉDU) Intelligence Artificielle générative et ÉDUcation : Enjeux, Défis et Perspectives de Recherche

Code available on GitHub :

